arXiv:q-alg/9703014v1 6 Mar 1997

The Dirac operator and gamma matrices for quantum Minkowski spaces

P. Podleś*
Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics
Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw
Hoża 74, 00–682 Warszawa, Poland

March 6, 1997

Abstract

Gamma matrices for quantum Minkowski spaces are found. The invariance of the corresponding Dirac operator is proven. We introduce momenta for spin 1/2 particles and get (in certain cases) formal solutions of the Dirac equation.

0 Introduction

It is widely recognized that geometry of space–time should drastically change at very small distances, comparable with Planck's length. On the other hand there is no satisfactory physical theory which would describe such a change. A lot of effort was devoted to simple physical models describing possible changes of geometry which can occur. One of possibilities is provided by the theory of quantum groups: the related examples of quantum space–times have a (quantum) group of symmetries which can be as big as the classical Poincaré group. If we want to have a quantum space–time which has exactly the same properties as the classical Minkowski space endowed with the action

---

*This research was supported in part by NSF grant DMS–9508597 and in part by Polish KBN grant No. 2 P301 020 07

of (spinorial) Poincaré group, we get the classification of quantum Minkowski spaces and quantum Poincaré groups given in [7]. The related differential structure on quantum Minkowski spaces was determined in [8]. Therefore we are able to write Klein–Gordon equation for spin 0 particles and solve it (at least formally) in many cases. The same holds for Dirac equation for spin 1/2 particles provided we are able to find the gamma matrices (and certain other objects). This remaining task is undertaken in the present paper. In Section 1 we recall the theory of quantum Minkowski spaces and quantum Poincaré groups. In Section 2 we prove that the requirement of invariance of the Dirac operator determines all the gamma matrices up to two constants $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$. The square of the Dirac operator is equal to the Laplacian (as in the classical case) if and only if $ab = 1$. The normalization $a = b = 1$ is chosen. We study certain expressions like the deformed Lagrangian. In Section 3 we get (in certain cases) formal solutions of the Dirac equation and introduce the momenta for spin 1/2 particles.

The gamma matrices for the example of the standard q-Lorentz group and q-Minkowski space ([5],[3]) were considered in [3] (cf. also [12], [11],[1]). This case however doesn't fall into our scheme (the corresponding q-Poincaré group contains dilatations) and involves essentially only one 2-dimensional R-matrix (while in our considerations we have two independent 2-dimensional R-matrices: L and X).

The gamma matrices (given by (2.25) and (2.9)) and relations among them (the condition 2. of Theorem 2.2 and (2.7)) were announced in [9]. Recently, when the present paper was essentially completed, some gamma matrices (satisfying a condition like the condition 2. of Theorem 2.2) appeared also in [2]. That paper contains explicit formulae for the gamma matrices and metric tensor in some cases. Also the transformation properties are discussed there.

We sum over repeated indices (Einstein's convention). If V, W are vector spaces then $\tau : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ is given by $\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$, $x \in V$, $y \in W$. We denote the unit $N \times N$ matrix by $\mathbf{1}_N$ or $\mathbf{1}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra, $v \in M_N(\mathcal{A})$, $w \in M_K(\mathcal{A})$, then the tensor product $v \otimes w \in M_{NK}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by

$$(v \otimes w)^{ij}{}_{kl} = v^i{}_k w^j{}_l, \ i, k = 1, \ldots, N, \ j, l = 1, \ldots, K.$$

We set dim $v = N$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a *-algebra then the conjugate of v is defined as $\bar{v} \in M_N(\mathcal{A})$ where $\bar{v}^i{}_j = (v^i{}_j)^*$. We also set $v^* = \bar{v}^T$ (v^T denotes the transpose of v, i.e. $(v^T)_i{}^j = v^j{}_i$). We write $a \sim b$ if a, b are proportional, i.e. $a = kb$ for $k \in \mathbf{R}_*$.

Throughout the paper quantum groups H are abstract objects described by the corresponding Hopf *-algebras $\mathrm{Poly}(H) = (\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$. We denote by Δ, ε, S the comultiplication, the counit and the coinverse of $\mathrm{Poly}(H)$. In particular, S is invertible ($S^{-1} = * \circ S \circ *$). We say that v is a representation of H ($v \in$ Rep H) if $v \in M_N(\mathcal{A})$, $N \in \mathbf{N}$, and

$$\Delta v^i{}_j = v^i{}_k \otimes v^k{}_j,\ \varepsilon(v^i{}_j) = \delta^i{}_j,\ i, j = 1, \ldots, N,$$

in which case $S(v^i{}_j) = (v^{-1})^i{}_j$. The conjugate of a representation and tensor products of representations are also representations. If $v, w \in$ Rep H, dim $v = N$, dim $w = M$, then we say that $A \in M_{M\times N}(\mathbf{C})$ intertwines v with w if $Av = wA$. We say that v, w are equivalent ($v \simeq w$) if such A can be chosen as invertible. For $\rho \in \mathcal{A}'$ (the dual vector space of $\mathcal{A}$), $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we set $\rho * a = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho)\Delta a$, $a * \rho = (\rho \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta a$.

1 Quantum Lorentz and Poincaré groups

In this section we recall the definitions and properties of quantum Lorentz and Poincaré groups as well as quantum Minkowski spaces. These objects are the natural generalizations of the standard objects known from the relativistic physics.

Quantum Lorentz groups are defined as quantum groups with the same properties as the classical Lorentz group $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ [13]. The classification of quantum Lorentz groups is given as follows [13]. The set $\mathcal{A}$ of polynomials on a quantum Lorentz group is the universal unital *-algebra generated by w_{AB}, $A, B = 1, 2$, satisfying

$$w^A{}_B w^C{}_D E^{BD} = E^{AC}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$E'_{AC} w^A{}_B w^C{}_D = E'_{BD}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$X^{AB}{}_{CD} w^C{}_K w^D{}_L{}^* = w^A{}_C{}^* w^B{}_D X^{CD}{}_{KL}, \tag{1.3}$$

$A, B, C, D, K, L = 1, 2$, where the matrices $E \in M_{4\times1}(\mathbf{C})$, $E' \in M_{1\times4}(\mathbf{C})$, $X \in M_{4\times4}(\mathbf{C})$ are given (up to a nonzero factor) in [13]. The set $\mathcal{A}$ becomes a Hopf *-algebra if we define the comultiplication and the counit in such a way that w becomes a representation, i.e.

$$\Delta w^A{}_B = w^A{}_C \otimes w^C{}_B, \quad \varepsilon(w^A{}_B) = \delta^A{}_B, \quad A, B = 1, 2. \tag{1.4}$$

The equations (1.1)-(1.3) can be also written as

$$(w \otimes w)E = E, \quad E'(w \otimes w) = E', \quad X(w \otimes \bar{w}) = (\bar{w} \otimes w)X. \tag{1.5}$$

In particular, setting $E^{11} = E^{22} = 0$, $E^{12} = 1$, $E^{21} = -1$, $E'_{AB} = -E^{AB}$, $X^{AB}{}_{CD} = \delta^A{}_D \delta^B{}_C$, we get the classical Lorentz group $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$. Then $w^A{}_B$ are the matrix elements of the fundamental representation of $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$, i.e. $w^A{}_B(h) = h^A{}_B \in \mathbf{C}$, $h \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$. Moreover, $f^*(g) = \overline{f(g)} \in \mathbf{C}$ for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $g \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$.

For any quantum Lorentz group we define its representation Λ as

$$\Lambda = V^{-1}(w \otimes \bar{w})V \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$V^{AB}{}_i = (\sigma_i)_{AB}, \quad (V^{-1})^i{}_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\overline{(\sigma_i)_{AB}} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i)_{BA}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are the Pauli matrices. Then $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda$ is irreducible.

In the next step we introduce the quantum Poincaré groups, i.e. the quantum groups with the properties of the (spinorial) Poincaré group. Their definition and (almost complete) classification are given in [7]. It turns out that each quantum Poincaré group is related to one of quantum Lorentz groups described by E, E' and $X = \tau Q'$ as follows:

1) $E = e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1$, $E' = -e^1 \otimes e^2 + e^2 \otimes e^1$,

$$Q' = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad \text{or}$$

2)

$$E, E' \text{ as above }, \quad Q' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & c^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or}$$

3) $E = e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1 + ce_1 \otimes e_1$, $E' = -e^1 \otimes e^2 + e^2 \otimes e^1 + ce^2 \otimes e^2$,

$$Q' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & rc^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \geq 0, \quad \text{or}$$

4)

$$E, E' \text{ as above }, \quad Q' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c & c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or}$$

5) $E = e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1$, $E' = e^1 \otimes e^2 + e^2 \otimes e^1$,

$$Q' = i \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad \text{or}$$

6)

$$E, E' \text{ as above }, Q' = i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & c^2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or}$$

7)

$$E, E' \text{ as above }, \quad Q' = i \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 & \varsigma \\ 0 & -r & \varsigma & 0 \\ 0 & \varsigma & -r & 0 \\ \varsigma & 0 & 0 & r \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r = (t + t^{-1})/2, \quad \varsigma = (t - t^{-1})/2, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

e_1, e_2 form the standard basis of $\mathbf{C}^2$, e^1, e^2 the corresponding dual basis of $(\mathbf{C}^2)^*$, $c \in \mathbf{R}_*$ (cf. Remark below). One has $q = 1$ in the cases 1)–4) and $q = -1$ for 5)–7). We set $q^{1/2} = 1$ for $q = 1$ and $q^{1/2} = i$ for $q = -1$.

The set $\mathcal{B}$ of polynomials on a quantum Poincaré group is the universal unital *-algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and y^i, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, satisfying $I_{\mathcal{B}} = I_{\mathcal{A}}$,

$$(R - \mathbf{1})^{ij}{}_{kl}(y^k y^l - Z^{kl}{}_m y^m + T^{kl} - \Lambda^k{}_m \Lambda^l{}_n T^{mn}) = 0, \tag{1.8}$$

$$y^i w^A{}_B = G^{iA}{}_{Cj} w^C{}_B y^j + (H_V)^{iA}{}_C w^C{}_B - \Lambda^i{}_j w^A{}_C (H_V)^{jC}{}_B, \tag{1.9}$$

$$(y^i)^* = y^i, \tag{1.10}$$

where $R = (V^{-1} \otimes V^{-1})(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes X \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(L \otimes \tilde{L})(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes X^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(V \otimes V)$, $G = (V^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes X)(L \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes V)$, $Z = (\mathbf{1}_4 \otimes V^{-1})[H_V \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 + (G \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \tilde{H}_V)]V$, $\tilde{H}_V = -\tau \overline{G^{-1} H_V}$, $L = s q^{1/2}(\mathbf{1}_4 + qEE')$, $\tilde{L} = q\tau L \tau$, $s = \pm 1$, $(H_V)^{iC}{}_D = (V^{-1})^i{}_{AB} H^{ABC}{}_D$, $T^{ij} = (V^{-1})^i{}_{AB}(V^{-1})^j{}_{CD} T^{ABCD}$, the possible $H^{ABC}{}_D, T^{ABCD} \in \mathbf{C}$ (for given quantum Lorentz group and s), $A, B, C, D = 1, 2$, are provided in [7] (for $c, k = 1$). Then $\mathcal{B}$ becomes a Hopf *-algebra if we define the comultiplication and counit in such a way that

$$\Delta y^i = \Lambda^i{}_j \otimes y^j + y^i \otimes I, \quad \varepsilon(y^i) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{1.11}$$

and (1.4) holds.

Remark. We set $c = k = 1$ for the objects considered in [7]. The objects with $c, k \in \mathbf{R}_*$ ($c = 1$ in cases 1)5)7)) are isomorphic to those with $c = k = 1$ in the following way: $N = \mathrm{diag}(c, 1)$, $\mathcal{N} = V^{-1}(N \otimes N)V$, $w(c) = NwN^{-1}$, $X(c) = (N \otimes N)X(N^{-1} \otimes N^{-1})$, $E(c) \sim (N \otimes N)E$, $E'(c) \sim E'(N^{-1} \otimes N^{-1})$, $\Lambda(c) = \mathcal{N}\Lambda\mathcal{N}^{-1}$, $y^i(c, k) = k\mathcal{N}^i{}_j y^j$, $H(c, k) = k(N \otimes N \otimes N)HN^{-1}$, $T(c, k) = k^2(N \otimes N \otimes N \otimes N)T$ etc. One should mention that formally taking $k \longrightarrow 0$, next $c \longrightarrow 0$ (in cases 2)3)4)) or $t \longrightarrow 1$ (in case 1)), we can deform all the objects related to the cases 1)-4) with $s = 1$ (and any allowed H, T) to the classical case [1), $s = t = 1$, $H = T = 0$]. Therefore k, c and $1 - t$ can serve as small deformation parameters.

In particular, $H = T = 0$ is always allowed. If in addition we consider the classical Lorentz group and $s = 1$, we get the classical (spinorial) Poincaré group. It is defined as

$$P = SL(2, \mathbf{C}) \rhd\!\!< \mathbf{R}^4 = \{(g, a) : g \in SL(2, \mathbf{C}), a \in \mathbf{R}^4\}$$

with multiplication $(g,a)\cdot(g',a') = (gg', a+\lambda_g(a'))$ where the double covering $SL(2,\mathbf{C}) \ni g \longrightarrow \lambda_g \in SO_0(1,3)$ is given by $\lambda_g(x)^i\sigma_i = g(x^j\sigma_j)g^*$, $g \in SL(2,\mathbf{C})$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^4$ (we treat λ_g as mapping from $\mathbf{R}^4$ into $\mathbf{R}^4$). The group P is the double covering of the (connected component of) vectorial Poincaré group

$$\tilde{P} = SO_0(1,3) \rhd\!\!< \mathbf{R}^4 = \{(M,a) : M \in SO_0(1,3), a \in \mathbf{R}^4\}$$

with multiplication $(M,a)\cdot(M',a') = (MM', a + Ma')$. This covering $\pi : P \longrightarrow \tilde{P}$ is defined by $\pi(g,a) = (\lambda_g, a)$. We should mention that P is more important in quantum field theory than $\tilde{P}$. In these notations $f(g,a) = f(g)$ for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ (in particular, $w^A{}_B(g,a) = w^A{}_B(g) = g^A{}_B \in \mathbf{C}$) and $y^i(g,a) = a^i = i$-th coordinate of $a \in \mathbf{R}^4$. Then the relations (1.1)–(1.3) and (1.8)–(1.9) express the commutativity of our algebra ($R = \tau$, $G = \tau$, $Z = 0$ in this case). The case 1), $s = 1$, $0 < t < 1$, $H = T = 0$, corresponds to the quantum Poincaré group of [4].

Finally, we pass to quantum Minkowski spaces, i.e. the quantum analogues of the Minkowski space. Their definition and properties are also given in [7]. According to [7], each quantum Poincaré group admits exactly one quantum Minkowski space. The set $\mathcal{C}$ of polynomials on a quantum Minkowski space is introduced as the universal unital *-algebra generated by x^i, $i = 0,1,2,3$, satisfying the relations

$$(R - \mathbf{1}_4)^{ij}{}_{kl}(x^k x^l - Z^{kl}{}_m x^m + T^{kl}) = 0, \tag{1.12}$$

$$(x^i)^* = x^i, \tag{1.13}$$

$i,j = 0,1,2,3$. The action of the quantum Poincaré group on the quantum Minkowski space is given by the unital *-homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $(id \otimes \Psi)\Psi = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi$, $(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi = \mathrm{id}$ and

$$\Psi(x^i) = \Lambda^i{}_j \otimes x^j + y^i \otimes I, \quad i = 0,1,2,3. \tag{1.14}$$

In particular, for the classical Poincaré group we get the classical Minkowski space $M = \mathbf{R}^4$. Then x^i are the coordinates on M: $x^i(v) = v^i = i$-th coordinate of $v \in M$. Moreover, Ψ corresponds to the action $\sigma : P \times M \longrightarrow M$ of P on M given by $\sigma(p,v) = (g,a)v = \lambda_g(v) + a$, $p = (g,a) \in P$, $v \in M$. One has

$$\Psi(f) = f \circ \sigma \tag{1.15}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let us recall [8] that 4-dimensional covariant differential calculus on a quantum Minkowski space exists if and only if

$$\tilde{F} \equiv [(R-\mathbf{1})\otimes\mathbf{1}_4]\{(\mathbf{1}_4\otimes Z)Z-(Z\otimes\mathbf{1}_4)Z+T\otimes\mathbf{1}_4-(\mathbf{1}_4\otimes R)(R\otimes\mathbf{1}_4)(\mathbf{1}_4\otimes T)\} = 0.$$

This requirement singles out some quantum Poincaré groups which are described after the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [8] (in particular, the trivial choice $H = T = 0$ is always allowed). From now on we limit ourselves to quantum Poincaré groups and quantum Minkowski spaces with $\tilde{F} = 0$. Then for given quantum Minkowski space the 4-dimensional covariant differential calculus exists and is unique. It is described by partial derivatives $\partial_i : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, which are determined by the following properties:

$$\partial_i(I) = 0, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\partial_i(x^k f) = \delta^k{}_i f + (R^{kl}{}_{in}x^n + Z^{kl}{}_i)(\partial_l f), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}. \tag{1.17}$$

The ∂_i satisfy the following covariance property

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \partial_j)(\Psi f) = (\Lambda^i{}_j \otimes I)[\Psi(\partial_i f)], \quad f \in \mathcal{C}. \tag{1.18}$$

According to Proposition 3.1.2 of [8], its proof and [7], ∂_i can be also obtained as follows. We set $\tilde{G} = (V^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \tilde{L})(X^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes V)$ and define a unital homomorphism $f = (f^i{}_j)^3_{i,j=0} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow M_4(\mathbf{C})$ by $f^i{}_j(w^C{}_D) = G^{iC}{}_{Dj}$, $f^i{}_j(w^C{}_D{}^*) = \tilde{G}^{iC}{}_{Dj}$. Then there exists a unital homomorphism $\mathbf{X} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow M_5(\mathbf{C})$ given by

$$\mathbf{X}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} (f^l{}_j(S(a)))^3_{j,l=0} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon(a) \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{1.19}$$

$$\mathbf{X}(y^i) = \begin{pmatrix} (Z^{il}{}_j)^3_{j,l=0} & (\delta^i{}_j)^3_{j=0} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{1.20}$$

In practical computations one can use the formula [7] $f^l{}_j(S(a)) = \overline{f^l{}_j(a^*)}$. It turns out that

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} (X_j{}^l)^3_{j,l=0} & (Y_j)^3_{j=0} \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.21}$$

with $X_j{}^l, Y_j \in \mathcal{B}'$. One has

$$\partial_j = (Y_j \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi, \quad \rho_j{}^k = (X_j{}^k \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi \tag{1.22}$$

where ${\rho_j}^k : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ also appear in the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [8].

The metric tensor is defined [8] as an invertible matrix $g = (g^{ij})_{i,j=0}^{3} \in M_{4\times 4}(\mathbf{C})$ such that its matrix entries g^{ij} satisfy the invariance and self–adjointness conditions:

$$\Lambda^i{}_j \Lambda^k{}_l g^{jl} = g^{ik}, \tag{1.23}$$
$$\overline{g^{ik}} = g^{ki},$$

$i, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Such g is unique up to a nonzero real multiplicative factor. It satisfies $Rg = g$. Here we choose it as

$$g = -2q^{1/2}(V^{-1} \otimes V^{-1})(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes X \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(E \otimes \tau E) \tag{1.24}$$

(cf. [8] and Remark below). Matrix elements of g^{-1} are denoted by g_{ij}. The Laplacian is given [8] as

$$\Box = g^{ij}\partial_j \partial_i. \tag{1.25}$$

It is invariant and commutes with partial derivatives:

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Box)[\Psi(f)] = \Psi(\Box f), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}, \tag{1.26}$$

$$\Box \partial_i = \partial_i \Box, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{1.27}$$

According to [7] and [8], the "sizes" of all our constructions are the same as for the classical Poincaré group, the classical Minkowski space and the standard differential calculus on it (we consider only the polynomial functions).

Remark. The factor (-2) in (1.24) gives the standard metric tensor $g = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ for the classical Poincaré group and was taken into account in the expression for a propagator near the end of Section 4 of [8]. However, it was not fixed in the considerations after (3.8) of [8].

In particular cases the metric tensor $g = (g^{ij})_{i,j=0}^{3}$ equals

1)5) $g = q\mathrm{diag}(t, -t^{-1}, -t^{-1}, -t)$,

2)6) $g = \frac{1}{2}q \begin{pmatrix} 2-c^2 & 0 & 0 & -c^2 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ -c^2 & 0 & 0 & -2-c^2 \end{pmatrix}$,

3) $g = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} (r+1)c^2-2 & 0 & -2ic & (1+r)c^2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2ic & 0 & 2 & 2ic \\ (1+r)c^2 & 0 & -2ic & (r+1)c^2+2 \end{pmatrix}$,

4) $g = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 3c^2-2 & -2c & -2ic & 3c^2 \\ -2c & 2 & 0 & -2c \\ 2ic & 0 & 2 & 2ic \\ 3c^2 & -2c & -2ic & 3c^2+2 \end{pmatrix}$,

7) $g = \mathrm{diag}(-r+\varsigma, r+\varsigma, r-\varsigma, r+\varsigma)$

($q = 1$ for 1)–4) and $q = -1$ for 5)–7)).

2 Invariance of the Dirac operator

In this section we prove that the requirement of invariance of the Dirac operator $\not{\partial}$ determines all the gamma matrices up to two constants. Then using the condition $\not{\partial}^2 = \Box$ we provide the exact form of the gamma matrices. We also study certain expressions like the deformed Lagrangian.

We shall consider gamma matrices $\gamma^i \in M_{4\times 4}(\mathbf{C})$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. At the moment they are not determined yet. The Dirac operator has form $\not{\partial} = \gamma^i \otimes \partial_i$ (cf. [8]). It acts on bispinor functions $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \equiv \mathbf{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ (in a more advanced approach we should consider square integrable functions ϕ).

In the classical case the Poincaré group P acts on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as follows:

$$\phi'(x') = \mathcal{S}(g)\phi(x)$$

where $\phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, $x \in M$, $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{C}^4$, ϕ' is ϕ transformed by $p = (g, a) \in P$, $x' = p \cdot x$, $\phi'(x') \in \mathbf{C}^4$, $\mathcal{S}$ is a representation of the Lorentz group $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ acting in the space $\mathbf{C}^4 = \mathbf{C}^2 \oplus \mathbf{C}^2$ of bispinors, $\mathcal{S} \simeq w \oplus \bar{w}$ (undotted and dotted spinors). Writing $\phi = \varepsilon_a \otimes \phi^a$ where ε_a, $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$, form the standard basis of $\mathbf{C}^4$, replacing x by $p^{-1}x$ and then p by p^{-1}, using (1.15) and setting

$$[\tilde{\Psi}(\phi)](p, x) = \phi'(x), \tag{2.1}$$

$\Psi(\phi^a) = \phi^{a(1)} \otimes \phi^{a(2)}$ (Sweedler's notation, exception of Einstein's convention), one obtains

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\varepsilon_a \otimes \phi^a) = \mathcal{G}_a{}^l \phi^{a(1)} \otimes \varepsilon_l \otimes \phi^{a(2)} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{S}^{-1})^T \simeq w \oplus \bar{w}$ (cf. remarks after (2.3)).

For the general quantum Minkowski space we define the action $\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of quantum Poincaré group on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by (2.2) where $\mathcal{G}$ is a representation equivalent to $w \oplus \bar{w}$. For the future convenience we choose

$$\mathcal{G} = {}^c w \oplus \bar{w} \tag{2.3}$$

where ${}^c w = (w^T)^{-1}$. We notice that (1.5) implies (here we treat E as 2×2 matrix and then [13] $E' = E^{-1}$)

$$w E w^T = E. \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore ${}^c w \simeq w$:

$$^c w = E^{-1} w E. \tag{2.5}$$

In the classical case one has the invariance of the Dirac operator:

$$[\partial\!\!\!/ \phi]' = \partial\!\!\!/ \phi'. \tag{2.6}$$

Then assuming that ϕ satisfies the Dirac equation $(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\phi = 0$, we get

$$0 = [(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\phi]' = i(\partial\!\!\!/ \phi)' - m\phi' = (i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\phi',$$

i.e. ϕ' also satisfies the Dirac equation. It means that the Dirac equation is invariant. On the other hand using (2.1), the invariance condition (2.6) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\partial\!\!\!/ \phi) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \partial\!\!\!/)[\tilde{\Psi}(\phi)], \quad \phi \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}. \tag{2.7}$$

We set (2.7) as the condition of invariance of the Dirac operator for the general quantum Minkowski space. The main result of the present Section is contained in

Theorem 2.1 *The following are equivalent:*

1. *The Dirac operator is invariant (i.e. (2.7) is satisfied)*
2. $$\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & bA_i \\ a\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$A_i = q^{-1/2} E^T (\sigma_i \circ D) E, \tag{2.9}$$

$(\sigma_i \circ D)_{KL} = (\sigma_i)_{AB} D^{AB}{}_{KL}$, $D = \tau X^{-1} \tau$, $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$ *(E is regarded here as* 2×2 *matrix).*

Proof. Setting $\phi = \varepsilon_a \otimes \phi^a$ and using (2.2), one easily checks that the LHS of (2.7) equals

$$\mathcal{G}_m{}^s (\gamma^j)^m{}_a (\partial_j \phi^a)^{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon_s \otimes (\partial_j \phi^a)^{(2)}. \tag{2.10}$$

Similarly, using (2.2) and (1.18), we obtain that the RHS of (2.7) is equal to

$$\mathcal{G}_a{}^l \phi^{a(1)} \otimes (\gamma^i)^s{}_l \varepsilon_s \otimes \partial_i [\phi^{a(2)}] = \mathcal{G}_a{}^l \Lambda^j{}_i (\partial_j \phi^a)^{(1)} (\gamma^i)^s{}_l \otimes \varepsilon_s \otimes (\partial_j \phi^a)^{(2)}. \tag{2.11}$$

Choosing $\phi = x^r \varepsilon_t$, one gets $\phi^a = x^r \delta^a{}_t$, $\partial_j \phi^a = \delta^r{}_j \delta^a{}_t I$. In this case (2.7) (i.e. the equality of (2.10) and (2.11)) yields

$$\mathcal{G}_m{}^s (\gamma^r)^m{}_t = \mathcal{G}_t{}^l \Lambda^r{}_i (\gamma^i)^s{}_l. \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand (2.12) implies that (2.10) equals (2.11) and (2.7) follows (for general ϕ). Therefore (2.7) and (2.12) are equivalent.

Setting

$$W_l{}^i{}_,{}^s = (\gamma^i)^s{}_l, \tag{2.13}$$

we can write (2.12) as

$$W \mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G} \otimes \Lambda) W. \tag{2.14}$$

Defining

$$N = (\mathbf{1}_4 \otimes V) W, \tag{2.15}$$

using (1.6) and (2.3), (2.14) can be translated as

$$N({}^c w \oplus \bar{w}) = ({}^c w \otimes w \otimes \bar{w} \oplus \bar{w} \otimes w \otimes \bar{w}) N. \tag{2.16}$$

According to (2.5) and Proposition 2.1 of [7] (cf. also [13]),

$${}^c w \otimes w \otimes \bar{w} \simeq (w^1 \otimes \bar{w}) \oplus \bar{w}, \quad \bar{w} \otimes w \otimes \bar{w} \simeq (w \otimes \overline{w^1}) \oplus w$$

(decompositions into irreducible components, we use the notation of Proposition 2.1 of [7]). Thus

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N_1 \\ N_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.17}$$

(2.16) means that

$$N_1\bar{w} = ({}^c w \otimes w \otimes \bar{w})N_1, \tag{2.18}$$

$$N_2{}^c w = (\bar{w} \otimes w \otimes \bar{w})N_2 \tag{2.19}$$

and N_1, N_2 are fixed up to multiplicative constants. Using the definition of ${}^c w$, (1.3) and conjugated (1.1), one can check that solutions of (2.18),(2.19) are given by

$$(N_1)^{ABC}{}_D = 2a\delta^A{}_B\delta^C{}_D,$$

$$(N_2)^{ABC}{}_D = 2bq^{-1/2}(X^{-1})^{BC}{}_{KL}E^{KA}E^{LD},$$

$a, b \in \mathbf{C}$, where additional scalar factors $2, q^{-1/2}$ are added for future convenience. Using (2.13), (2.15) and (1.7), we finally get (2.8). $\Box$.

In the standard Dirac theory $\not{\partial}^2 = \Box$. We set this as the additional condition for our gamma matrices. Then the Dirac equation $(i\not{\partial} - m)\phi = 0$ implies (formally) the Klein–Gordon equation $(\Box + m^2)\phi = 0$.

Theorem 2.2 *Assume (2.8). The following are equivalent:*

1. $\not{\partial}^2 = \Box$.
2. $\gamma^i\gamma^j + R^{ji}{}_{lk}\gamma^k\gamma^l = 2g^{ji}\mathbf{1}, \qquad i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3.$
3. $ab = 1$.

Remark. The condition 2 was considered in [8] (cf. [10], [11]). For the classical Poincaré group it gives the standard relation $\gamma^i\gamma^j + \gamma^j\gamma^i = 2g^{ij}\mathbf{1}$.

Proof. According to [8], the condition 2 implies the condition 1. Conversely, assume that the condition 1 holds. Applying its both sides to $x^m x^n$, using (1.16), (1.17) and $Rg = g$, we get the condition 2. It remains to prove the equivalence of conditions 2 and 3.

Using (2.13), it is easy to check that the condition 2 is equivalent to

$$[\mathbf{1}_4 \otimes (R + \mathbf{1})](W \otimes \mathbf{1}_4)W = 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes g. \tag{2.20}$$

In virtue of (2.15), (2.20) can be translated as

$$[\mathbf{1}_4 \otimes (R_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathbf{1})](N \otimes \mathbf{1}_4)N = 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes g_{\mathcal{L}}, \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$R_{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes X \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(L \otimes \tilde{L})(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes X^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2),$$

$$g_{\mathcal{L}} = -2q^{1/2}(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes X \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(E \otimes \tau E).$$

This in turn means that

$$[\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes (R_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathbf{1}_{16})](N_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_4)N_2 = 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes g_{\mathcal{L}}, \tag{2.22}$$

$$[\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes (R_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathbf{1}_{16})](N_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_4)N_1 = 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes g_{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{2.23}$$

But we can write

$$N_1 = 2a(M \otimes \mathbf{1}_2), \qquad N_2 = 2bq^{-1/2}(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes X^{-1} \otimes T)(\tau E \otimes E \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)$$

where $M : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^2 \otimes \mathbf{C}^2$ and $T : \mathbf{C}^2 \otimes \mathbf{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are such that $M^{AB} = T_{AB} = \delta^A{}_B$. Using this,

$$(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes T)(M \otimes \mathbf{1}_2) = (T \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)(\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes M) = \mathbf{1}_2$$

and the 16 relations (2.1), (2.3)–(2.9), (2.17)–(2.20) and (2.35)–(2.38) of [7], after some computations we get that the left hand sides of (2.22),(2.23) are both equal to $2ab\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes g_{\mathcal{L}}$. Therefore the condition 2 is equivalent to $ab = 1$.□.

Remark. Here we explain why each of conditions (2.22)–(2.23) (expressing equalities of 32×2 matrices) leads to only one numerical condition. Let us begin with (2.22). In virtue of (2.18),(2.19) $(N_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_4)N_2$ intertwines ${}^c w$ with $z = {}^c w \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ where $\mathcal{L} = w \otimes \bar{w}$. Using Proposition 2.1 of [7],

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \;\simeq\; I \oplus w^1 \oplus \overline{w^1} \oplus (w^1 \otimes \overline{w^1}). \tag{2.24}$$

Thus

$$z \;\simeq\; w \oplus (w \oplus w^{3/2}) \oplus (w \otimes \overline{w^1}) \oplus (w \otimes \overline{w^1} \oplus w^{3/2} \otimes \overline{w^1}).$$

Hence $(N_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_4)N_2$ can be nonzero only in first two components of (2.24). Moreover, using the remarks after (2.25) of [7], $R_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathbf{1}_{16}$ kills the second component of (2.24) and the LHS of (2.22) intertwines ${}^c w$ with ${}^c w \otimes I$ (here I denotes the trivial subrepresentation of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}$). The same is true for the RHS (cf. (1.23)). Such intertwinners are represented by numbers and (2.22) is equivalent to one numerical condition. We treat (2.23) in a similar way.

Our remarks are valid in particular in the classical case.

The transformation $a \mapsto x^{-1}a$, $b \mapsto xb$ $(x \in \mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\})$ corresponds to $\gamma^i \mapsto D\gamma^i D^{-1}$ where $D = x\mathbf{1}_2 \oplus \mathbf{1}_2$, which is equivalent to scaling of the undotted spinor. Therefore we may set $a = b = 1$ and obtain

$$\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.25}$$

where A_i are given by (2.9).

In particular cases one gets:

1)5) $A_0 = qt\sigma_0$, $A_1 = -qt^{-1}\sigma_1$, $A_2 = -qt^{-1}\sigma_2$, $A_3 = -qt\sigma_3$,

2)6)

$$A_0 = q\begin{pmatrix} 1-c^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = -q\sigma_1, \quad A_2 = -q\sigma_2, \quad A_3 = q\begin{pmatrix} -1-c^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

3)

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} c^2(1-r)+1 & c \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2c & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} c^2(1-r)-1 & c \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

4)

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} c^2+1 & 2c \\ 2c & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = -\sigma_1, \quad A_2 = -\sigma_2, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} c^2-1 & 2c \\ 2c & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

7) $A_0 = (\varsigma - r)\sigma_0$, $A_1 = (r+\varsigma)\sigma_1$, $A_2 = (r-\varsigma)\sigma_2$, $A_3 = (r+\varsigma)\sigma_3$

($q = 1$ for 1)–4) and $q = -1$ for 5)–7)).

In the following we shall study the analogues of certain sesquilinear expressions which appear in the standard Dirac theory. They involve the gamma matrix γ^0. But in the deformed case the corresponding matrix will be in general different from γ^0 and denoted by A. We set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K^T \\ K & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.26}$$

where

$$K = -E(E^{-1})^T \tag{2.27}$$

(as 2×2 matrices). For $\phi = \varepsilon_a \otimes \phi^a \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we put $\phi^\dagger = \lambda_a \otimes \phi^{a*}$, $\bar{\phi} = \phi^\dagger(A\otimes I)$ where $\lambda_a \in (\mathbf{C}^4)^*$ form a basis dual to the standard basis ε_a of $\mathbf{C}^4$. We shall prove that expressions like $\bar{\phi}\phi$ and deformed Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\phi}(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\phi$ transform themselves in the same way as in the standard theory:

Proposition 2.3 *For $\phi = \varepsilon_a \otimes \phi^a, \chi = \varepsilon_a \otimes \chi^a \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ one has*

$$(\tilde{\Psi}\phi)^\dagger (I\otimes A\otimes I)(\tilde{\Psi}\chi) = \Psi[\phi^\dagger(A\otimes I)\chi], \tag{2.28}$$

$$(\tilde{\Psi}\phi)^\dagger (I\otimes A\otimes I)[I\otimes(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)](\tilde{\Psi}\chi) = \Psi[\phi^\dagger(A\otimes I)(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\chi], \tag{2.29}$$

where $(b_a\otimes c_a)^\dagger = b_a^* \otimes c_a^\dagger$ for $b_a \in \mathcal{B}$, $c_a \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proof. Using (2.5) and (2.4), we notice that

$$wK({}^cw)^T = wKE^Tw^T(E^{-1})^T = -wEw^T(E^{-1})^T = -E(E^{-1})^T = K.$$

Applying the hermitian conjugation, one obtains $\overline{{}^cw}K^T\bar{w}^T = K^T$. These two equations and (2.3) give

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}A\mathcal{G}^T = A. \tag{2.30}$$

Therefore

$$(\tilde{\Psi}\phi)^\dagger(I\otimes A\otimes I)(\tilde{\Psi}\chi) = [(\mathcal{G}_a{}^l\phi^{a(1)})^*\otimes\lambda_l\otimes\phi^{a(2)*}](I\otimes A\otimes I)[\mathcal{G}_b{}^s\chi^{b(1)}\otimes\varepsilon_s\otimes\chi^{b(2)}]$$

$$= \phi^{a(1)*}\mathcal{G}_a{}^{l*}A_{ls}\mathcal{G}_b{}^s\chi^{b(1)}\otimes\phi^{a(2)*}\chi^{b(2)} = \phi^{a(1)*}A_{ab}\chi^{b(1)}\otimes\phi^{a(2)*}\chi^{b(2)}$$

$$= A_{ab}\Psi(\phi^a)^*\Psi(\chi^b) = \Psi(\lambda_aA\varepsilon_b\otimes\phi^{a*}\chi^b) = \Psi(\phi^\dagger(A\otimes I)\chi)$$

and (2.28) follows. Replacing χ by $(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\chi$ and using (2.7), one gets (2.29). $\Box$.

Remark. We were not able to get a similar fact for $\bar{\phi}\gamma^i\phi$.

In particular cases K equals:

$$1)2)\quad K = \mathbf{1}_2, \qquad 3)4)\quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad 5)6)7)\quad K = -\mathbf{1}_2.$$

3 Solutions of the Dirac equation and momenta

In this section we use [8] to get (in certain cases) formal solutions of the Dirac equation. We introduce the momenta for spin 1/2 particles. They have good transformation properties, commute with the Dirac operator, are selfadjoint w.r.t. the inner product introduced in Section 2 and in general differ from momenta for spin 0 particles. Unfortunately, only in some cases they are diagonalizable with real eigenvalues. Considerations in this section are largely formal (except of Proposition 3.1).

In the case when the Lorentz group is classical (case 1), $t = 1$), we get $R = \tau$. Then we obtain formal solutions of the Dirac equation $(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\phi = 0$ $(m \geq 0)$ as in the case 2. of Section 4 of [8]. In these cases metric tensor $g = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ and the gamma matrices

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_2 \\ \mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

are classical. Solutions have form $\phi = v \otimes e^{-ix^a p_a}$ (according to the conventions of our paper, we use a different order of tensor product than in [8]) where p_a are real numbers and v is a solution of

$$\mathcal{P}_j \gamma^j v = mv \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$m = \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_0^2 - \mathcal{P}_1^2 - \mathcal{P}_2^2 - \mathcal{P}_3^2}$$

and $\mathcal{P}_j \in \mathbf{R}$ obtained from p_i as in [8]. We solve (3.1) as in the standard theory:

for $m > 0$ we get

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ m^{-1}\mathcal{P}_k \sigma_k \varphi \end{pmatrix} \quad (\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2),$$

for $m = 0$ one has

$$v \in \mathrm{span}\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_1 - i\mathcal{P}_2 \\ -\mathcal{P}_0 - \mathcal{P}_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_0 + \mathcal{P}_3 \\ \mathcal{P}_1 + i\mathcal{P}_2 \end{pmatrix} \} \quad (\mathcal{P}_0 \neq -\mathcal{P}_3),$$

$$v \in \mathrm{span}\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \} \quad (\mathcal{P}_0 = -\mathcal{P}_3 \neq 0)$$

(or $v \in \mathbf{C}^4$ if all $\mathcal{P}_i = 0$ – unphysical case).

Now let us consider the case 1. of Section 4 of [8] (for $Z = 0$). In addition to gamma matrices we need also *-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ and its *-representations π in Hilbert spaces H with bases e_k, $k \in K$. We recall that $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by p^a, $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$, satisfying $(p^a)^* = p^a$, $p^k p^l = R^{lk}{}_{ji} p^i p^j$. Then solutions φ of the Dirac equation $i\partial\!\!\!/ \varphi = m\varphi$ ($m \geq 0$) are provided in terms of $\varphi_{sl} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{sl})(e^{-ix\otimes p})$ where $x \otimes p = x^a \otimes g_{ab} p^b$, $s, l \in K$, $\pi_{sl}(a) = (e_s \mid \pi(a) e_l)$ for $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Namely, $\varphi = \varphi_{vl} = \varepsilon_i \otimes v^{si} \varphi_{sl}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) for $v \in H \otimes \mathbf{C}^4$ such that $Uv = mv$. Here $U^{si}{}_{kn} = \pi_{ks}(p^t) g_{at} (\gamma^a)^i{}_n$, $m = \pi(g_{ij} p^j p^i)^{1/2}$ (we assume that it is a number, which holds e.g. for π irreducible as in [8]).

In the following we limit ourselves to the cases 1)-2) of Section 1. Then g_{ab} are real, $x \otimes p$ is selfadjoint, $e^{-ix\otimes p}$ unitary and the components of φ are bounded (since the summation over s will be finite). Set $A = p^0 + p^3$, $B = p^1 - ip^2$, $B^* = p^1 + ip^2$, $D = p^0 - p^3$. We have found the following admissible π:

1)a) π_{abd} in $l^2(\mathbf{Z})$ with orthonormal basis e_n, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, defined by

$$\pi_{abd}(A) e_n = t^{-2n} a e_n,$$

$$\pi_{abd}(B) e_n = b e_{n-1},$$

$$\pi_{abd}(B^*) e_n = b e_{n+1},$$

$$\pi_{abd}(D) e_n = t^{2n} d e_n,$$

$a, d \in \mathbf{R}$, $(a, d) \neq (0, 0)$, $b > 0$.

b) $\tilde{\pi}_{abd}$ in $\mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\pi}_{abd}(A) = a, \quad \tilde{\pi}_{abd}(B) = b, \quad \tilde{\pi}_{abd}(B^*) = \bar{b}, \quad \tilde{\pi}_{abd}(D) = d,$$

$a, d \in \mathbf{R}$, $b = 0$ or $a = d = 0$, $b \in \mathbf{C}_*$.

2)a) π_{ad} in $l^2(\mathbf{N})$ with orthonormal basis e_n, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, defined by

$$\pi_{ad}(A) e_n = c^2 (a + nd) e_n,$$

$$\pi_{ad}(B)e_n = cdn^{1/2}e_{n-1},$$
$$\pi_{ad}(B^*)e_n = cd(n+1)^{1/2}e_{n+1},$$
$$\pi_{ad}(D)e_n = de_n,$$

$d \in \mathbf{R}_*$, $a \in \mathbf{R}$, $e_{-1} = 0$.

b) $\tilde{\pi}_{ab}$ in $\mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\pi}_{ab}(A) = a, \quad \tilde{\pi}_{ab}(B) = b, \quad \tilde{\pi}_{ab}(B^*) = \bar{b}, \quad \tilde{\pi}_{ab}(D) = 0,$$

$a \in \mathbf{R}$, $b \in \mathbf{C}$.

After some computations one finds U, v and finally solutions φ of the Dirac equation $i\partial\!\!\!/\varphi = m\varphi$ ($m \geq 0$). They are (up to linear combinations) as follows:

1) $m = (t^{-1}ad - t \mid b \mid^2)^{1/2}$,

a) $m > 0$:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} m\varphi_{nl} \\ 0 \\ t^{2n-1}d\varphi_{nl} \\ -tb\varphi_{n-1,l} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ m\varphi_{nl} \\ -tb\varphi_{n+1,l} \\ t^{-2n-1}a\varphi_{nl} \end{pmatrix};$$

$m = 0$:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} b\varphi_{nl} \\ dt^{2n-2}\varphi_{n-1,l} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ b\varphi_{nl} \\ -at^{-2n}\varphi_{n-1,l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

b) $m > 0$ ($b = 0$, $ad > 0$):

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} m\varphi_{11} \\ 0 \\ t^{-1}d\varphi_{11} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ m\varphi_{11} \\ 0 \\ t^{-1}a\varphi_{11} \end{pmatrix};$$

$m = 0$:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi_{11} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \varphi_{11} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a = b = 0),$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{11} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \varphi_{11} \end{pmatrix} \quad (d = b = 0).$$

2)a) $m = c(ad)^{1/2}$, $m > 0$:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} m\varphi_{nl} \\ 0 \\ d\varphi_{nl} \\ -cdn^{1/2}\varphi_{n-1,l} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ m\varphi_{nl} \\ -cd(n+1)^{1/2}\varphi_{n+1,l} \\ c^2(a+nd+d)\varphi_{nl} \end{pmatrix};$$

$m = 0$:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} c(n+1)^{1/2}\varphi_{n+1,l} \\ \varphi_{nl} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \varphi_{nl} \\ -cn^{1/2}\varphi_{n-1,l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

b) $m = 0$ for $b = 0$, $a \neq 0$:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{11} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \varphi_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

(we have omitted unphysical case $a = b = 0$ when $\varphi = \rho \otimes \varphi_{11}$ with any $\rho \in \mathbf{C}^4$).

Now we shall pass to the momenta for spin 1/2 particles (in general case). Let us recall that for spin 0 particles the momenta were defined as $P_j = i\partial_j$ and partial derivatives ∂_j can be also obtained by $\partial_j = (Y_j \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi$ (see (1.22)). It suggests to define the momenta for spin 1/2 particles as

$$\tilde{P}_j = i\tilde{\partial}_j, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\tilde{\partial}_j = (Y_j \otimes \mathrm{id})\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}. \tag{3.3}$$

This choice is justified by the following

Proposition 3.1 *Let us define $\tilde{\partial}_j$ as in (3.3). Then*

$$\tilde{\partial}_j \not{\partial} = \not{\partial} \tilde{\partial}_j, \tag{3.4}$$

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \tilde{\partial}_j)\tilde{\Psi}(a) = ({\Lambda^i}_j \otimes I)\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{\partial}_i(a)), \quad a \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Remark. Proposition 3.1 is valid for any homogeneous quantum space endowed with the action of inhomogeneous quantum group in the sense of [8] (with $\tilde{F} = 0$). Equation (3.4) implies that (up to technical difficulties) the deformed momenta are well defined in the space of solutions of the Dirac equation $(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\phi = 0$ $(m \geq 0)$. Equation (3.5) means that the momenta for spin 1/2 particles transform themselves in the same way as the momenta for spin 0 particles (cf. (1.18)).

Proof. We set (cf. (1.22))

$$\tilde{\rho}_j{}^k = (X_j{}^k \otimes \mathrm{id})\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Applying $Y_j \otimes \mathrm{id}$ or $X_j{}^k \otimes \mathrm{id}$ to (2.7), we get (3.4) and

$$\tilde{\rho}_j{}^k \partial\!\!\!/ = \partial\!\!\!/ \tilde{\rho}_j{}^k. \tag{3.7}$$

Next we are going to prove

$$Y_j * a = \Lambda^i{}_j \{a * Y_i\}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\{X_k{}^j * a\}\Lambda^i{}_j = \Lambda^j{}_k \{a * X_j{}^i\}, \tag{3.9}$$

$a \in \mathcal{B}$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ (3.8) is trivial while (3.9) follows from (1.19), (1.5) of [6] and invertibility of S. For $a = y^s$ (3.8) is trivial while (3.9) follows from (1.19),(1.20) and (3.60) of [6] (p_i are called y^i now). But the set of $a \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (3.8),(3.9) is an algebra (use the homomorphism property of $\mathbf{X}$ of (1.21)). Therefore (3.8),(3.9) follow for all $a \in \mathcal{B}$.

Moreover, using (2.2), one obtains

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \tilde{\Psi})\tilde{\Psi} = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\tilde{\Psi}. \tag{3.10}$$

Now tensoring (3.8),(3.9) from right by $b \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, replacing $a \otimes b$ by $\tilde{\Psi}(x)$, $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, using (3.10) and (3.3),(3.6), one obtains (3.5) and

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \tilde{\rho}_k{}^j)\tilde{\Psi}(a)(\Lambda^i{}_j \otimes I) = (\Lambda^j{}_k \otimes I)\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{\rho}_j{}^i(a)). \tag{3.11}$$

In the same way, using $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Psi)\Psi = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Psi$, one can also get (1.11)–(1.12) of [8]. □.

Using the properties of $\mathbf{X}$ and (2.2), one gets

$$\tilde{\partial}_m = X_m{}^j(\mathcal{G}_a{}^l)E_l{}^a \otimes \partial_j, \quad \tilde{\rho}_m{}^k = X_m{}^j(\mathcal{G}_a{}^l)E_l{}^a \otimes \rho_j{}^k$$

where $E_l{}^a$ are matrix units ($E_l{}^a\varepsilon_b = \delta^a{}_b\varepsilon_l$). First consider the case when the Lorentz group is classical [1), $t = 1$] and $s = 1$. Then $f^i{}_j = \delta^i{}_j\varepsilon$. Using (1.19), one gets

$$\tilde{\partial}_m = \mathrm{id} \otimes \partial_m, \qquad \tilde{\rho}_m{}^k = \mathrm{id} \otimes \rho_m{}^k.$$

Taking the solution $\varphi = v \otimes e^{-ix^a p_a}$ as in the beginning of the present section, one obtains

$$\tilde{P}_m\varphi = v \otimes i\partial_m e^{-ix^a p_a} = \mathcal{P}_m\varphi$$

(cf. the case 2. of Section 4 of [8]). Thus in this case the momenta are just like the momenta for spin 0 particles. For all other cases it is easy to check that $f^i{}_m(w^A{}_B) \not\equiv \delta^i{}_m\delta^A{}_B$, $X_i{}^m(\mathcal{G}_a{}^l) \not\equiv \delta^m{}_i\delta^l{}_a$ and

$$\tilde{\partial}_i \not\equiv \mathrm{id} \otimes \partial_i, \qquad \tilde{\rho}_i{}^k \not\equiv \mathrm{id} \otimes \rho_i{}^k$$

(acting on $\phi = \varepsilon_a \otimes x^b$ or $\phi = \varepsilon_a \otimes I$). It means that in general momenta depend on spin.

Let us return to the general case. Set

$$F^t{}_r = g^{tm}X_m{}^j(\mathcal{G}_a{}^l)g_{jr}E_l{}^a,$$

$\tilde{P}^t = ig^{tm}\tilde{\partial}_m$, $P^r = ig^{rj}\partial_j$. Then

$$\tilde{P}^t = F^t{}_r \otimes P^r.$$

The transformation property of the momenta $\tilde{P}^t$ easily follows from (3.5):

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \tilde{P}^t)\tilde{\Psi}(a) = [(g^{-1T}\Lambda g^T)_m{}^t \otimes I]\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{P}^m(a)).$$

Due to (3.4), $\tilde{P}^t$ commute with $\not{\partial}$. One can check that $F^t{}_r$ are selfadjoint w.r.t. the inner product defined in Section 2 ($\bar{\phi}F^t{}_r\psi = \overline{F^t{}_r\phi}\psi$ for $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{C}^4$) while P^r are selfadjoint according to [8]. Therefore $\tilde{P}^t$ are selfadjoint. However, the inner product of Section 2 is not positively defined and selfadjointness doesn't guarantee diagonalizability or reality of the spectrum as we shall see. Namely, after long computations one gets the following form for $\tilde{P}^t$ in cases 1)-2), $Z = 0$ (case 1. of Section 4 of [8] as considered above):

identifying φ_{nl} with e_n one can represent $\tilde{P}^t$ as operators in $\mathbf{C}^4 \otimes H = (\mathbf{C}^2 \otimes H) \oplus (\mathbf{C}^2 \otimes H)$ such that

$$\tilde{P}^t = \tilde{R}^t \oplus (\tilde{R}^t)^*$$

(this is related to the selfadjointness of $\tilde{P}^t$, $*$ is given by the standard hermitian structures in $\mathbf{C}^2$ and H) where

1)

$$\tilde{R}^0 = \frac{s}{2} \begin{pmatrix} t\pi(A) + t^{-1}\pi(D) & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1}\pi(A) + t\pi(D) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{R}^1 = \frac{s}{2} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1}\pi(B)^T + t\pi(B^*)^T & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1}\pi(B^*)^T + t\pi(B)^T \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{R}^2 = i\frac{s}{2} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1}\pi(B)^T - t\pi(B^*)^T & 0 \\ 0 & t\pi(B)^T - t^{-1}\pi(B^*)^T \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{R}^3 = \frac{s}{2} \begin{pmatrix} t\pi(A) - t^{-1}\pi(D) & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1}\pi(A) - t\pi(D) \end{pmatrix},$$

2)

$$\tilde{R}^0 = \frac{s}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \pi(A) + \pi(D) & -c^2\pi(B)^T \\ 0 & \pi(A) + \pi(D) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{R}^1 = \frac{s}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \pi(B^*)^T + \pi(B)^T & -c^2\pi(D) \\ 0 & \pi(B^*)^T + \pi(B)^T \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{R}^2 = i\frac{s}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \pi(B)^T - \pi(B^*)^T & c^2\pi(D) \\ 0 & \pi(B)^T - \pi(B^*)^T \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{R}^3 = \frac{s}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \pi(A) - \pi(D) & -c^2\pi(B)^T \\ 0 & \pi(A) - \pi(D) \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to (3.4), $\tilde{P}^t$ act in the subspace of solutions of the Dirac equation. However, considering $\tilde{P}^1$, $\tilde{P}^2$ w.r.t. the found solutions, there appears complex spectrum (case 1)) or nondiagonalizability (case 2), $m > 0$). But in the case 2), $m = 0$ all $\tilde{P}^r$ are diagonalizable with real spectrum (in the subspace of found solutions). One can try to overcome this difficulty by modifying the definition of $\tilde{\partial}_m$. However, one checks that it is not possible to get always (in all cases 1)2)) diagonalizability with real spectrum (without spoiling the other properties of $\tilde{P}^m$) by choosing another ansatz of the form $\tilde{\partial}_m = M_m{}^j \otimes \partial_j$ ($M_m{}^j \in \mathbf{C}$, $M_m{}^j \not\equiv 0$).

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Professor W. Arveson and other faculty members for their kind hospitality at UC Berkeley where a large part of this work was done. I am thankful to Professor J. Wess, Dr M. Klimek and Dr S. Zakrzewski for interesting discussions.

References

[1] de Azcárraga, J.A., Kulish, P.P. and Rodenas, F., Quantum groups and deformed special relativity, *Fortschr. Phys.* **44** (1996), 1–40.

[2] de Azcárraga, J.A., Kulish, P.P. and Rodenas, F., Twisted h–spacetimes and invariant equations, q-alg/9702026.

[3] Carow–Watamura, U., Schlieker, M., Scholl, M. and Watamura, S., Tensor representation of the quantum group $SL_q(2,\mathbf{C})$ and quantum Minkowski space, *Z. Phys. C – Particles and Fields* **48** (1990), 159–165; A quantum Lorentz group, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **6** (1991), 3081–3108.

[4] Chaichian, M. and Demichev, A.P., Quantum Poincaré group, *Phys. Lett.* **B304** (1993), 220–224.

[5] Podleś, P. and Woronowicz, S.L., Quantum deformation of Lorentz group, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **130** (1990), 381–431.

[6] Podleś, P. and Woronowicz, S.L., On the structure of inhomogeneous quantum groups, hep-th/9412058, will appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.*

[7] Podleś, P. and Woronowicz, S. L., On the classification of quantum Poincaré groups, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **178** (1996), 61–82.

[8] Podleś, P., Solutions of Klein–Gordon and Dirac equations on quantum Minkowski spaces, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **181** (1996), 569–585.

[9] Podleś, P., Talk "Dirac equation and gamma matrices for quantum Minkowski spaces", 5th International colloquium "Quantum groups and integrable systems", Prague, 20–22 June 1996.

[10] Reshetikhin, N. Yu., Takhtadzyan, L. A. and Faddeev, L. D., Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.* **1:1** (1990), 193–225. Russian original: *Algebra i analiz* **1:1** (1989), 178–206.

[11] Schirrmacher, A., Quantum groups, quantum spacetime, and Dirac equation, in *Low-Dimensional Topology and Quantum Field Theory*, H. Osborn, Ed., Plenum Press, New York, 1993, pp. 221–230.

[12] Song, X.–C., Covariant differential calculus on quantum Minkowski space and the q-analogue of Dirac equation, *Z. Phys. C – Particles and Fields* **55** (1992), 417–422.

[13] Woronowicz, S.L. and Zakrzewski, S., Quantum deformations of the Lorentz group. The Hopf *-algebra level, *Comp. Math.* **90** (1994), 211–243.